### TRA Public Annual Report and Public Report of Plan Summaries

# 2016 TRA Public Annual Report and Public Report of Plan Summaries

# B&W Heat Treating Canada Kitchener ON

May 2017

# BASIC FACILITY INFORMATION

#### Substances Included in the Plan

- Ammonia (CAS NA-16) Sodium Nitrite (CAS 7632-00-0)
- Methanol (CAS 67-56-1)

| Facility (legal) name           | B&W Heat Treating Canada ULC                             |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Facility address                | 60 Steckle Place, Kitchener, ON N2E 2C3                  |
| NPRI Identification number      | 00064  |
| Two digit NAICS Code            | 33   |
| Four digit NAICS Code           | 3328   |
| Six Digit NAICS Code            | 332810   |
| Number of full time Employees   | 28   |
| UTM spatial coordinates:        |  |
| UTM Zone                        | 38   |
| UTM Easting                     | 470483   |
| UTM Northing                    | 1066525  |
| Facility Owner                  | Bluewater Thermal Solutions                              |
| Highest Ranking Official        | Shawn Scott  |
|                                 | sscott@bluewaterthermal.com                              |
|                                 | (519) 748-0769   |
| Public Contact                  | Shawn Scott  |
|                                 | sscott@bluewaterthermal.com                              |
|                                 | (519) 748-0769   |
| Technical Contact               | Shawn Scott  |
|                                 | sscott@bluewaterthermal.com                              |
|                                 | (519) 748-0769   |
| Coordinator of the TSRP         | Erin Guo   |
|                                 | eguo@bluewaterthermal.com                                |
|                                 | (519) 748-0769   |
| Person preparing the TSRP       | Lari Dakin LD – 50 Enterprises Inc. – Consultant/Planner |
|                                 | Cell: (519) 575-8374; E-mail: <u>ld50@execulink.com</u>  |
| Licensed Planner making         | Lari Dakin LD – 50 Enterprises Inc. – Consultant/Planner |
| recommendations                 | Cell: (519) 575-8374; E-mail: <u>ld50@execulink.com</u>  |
|                                 | License number TSRP0270                                  |
| Licensed Planner certifying the | Lari Dakin LD – 50 Enterprises Inc. – Consultant/Planner |
| TSRP                            | Cell: (519) 575-8374; E-mail: ld50@execulink.com         |
| D 10 ::                         | License number TSRP0270                                  |
| Parent Company information      | Bluewater Thermal solutions                              |
|                                 | Suite 302 – 6225 Sheridan Drive                          |
|                                 | New York   |

# Facility's Approach to Toxic Substance Accounting

The amount of each substance used, created, contained in the product, released, disposed, and/or transferred is contained in the 2016 NPRI Report, available on the government website.

http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=En&n=F6300E68-1

## 1. Ammonia (CAS NA-16)

#### **Statement of Intent**

Ammonia is currently used in the heat-treating furnaces to create a suitable nitrogen atmosphere. It assists in producing this atmosphere, which is key in hardening of the parts. It is expected that the use of the substance will increase based on anticipated increased production. Reduction initiatives taken in the past included, increased efficiencies of the furnaces. Due to its criticality to the process, there was no intent to reduce the use of this substance in 2016.

#### **Objectives**

Although B&W Heat Treating does not intend to reduce the use of ammonia at this time, it will continue to seek out further opportunities for reduction options, while maintaining industry standards and meeting customer requirements.

#### **Description of Why the Substance is Used:**

Ammonia (NA-16) is used at the chemical receiving process, where it is transferred to a 2500 US Gallon tank by the supplier, so no additional cost is incurred in receiving. A mixture of the ammonia/nitrogen/methanol is used in the furnaces to create a carbon/nitrogen rich atmosphere. There were no spills of ammonia reported in 2015. The ammonia is destroyed in the furnaces. A new furnace was added in 2013 (for a total of five), and the process changed, which increased the amount of ammonia used. The process generally relied on one furnace. However, the use of a second furnace was started in 2014.

#### **Description of Options to be Implemented:**

No option chosen.

Rationale: Option1: Substitute NH4 with N2. Not technically feasible at this time. The substance is a critical component in creating the proper atmosphere for hardening/carburizing of the parts, due to the chemistry with the methanol, also used in this process.

Rationale: Option 2 – Replace valving and install a secondary monitoring system. Technically feasible. This option was implemented in 2012.

Rationale: Option 3 – Purchase an Endothermic Generator to reduce the amount of ammonia used in ferritic nitrocarburizing. This will reduce flow of ammonia (by 50%) and cycle time on one oven. This can only be used for heat treating of steel parts. This is being considered for 2016. However this option has an approximate 8.4 year payback, so is not considered economically feasible at this time.

Estimated Reductions for each Option: Option 3 is 50% reduction on one of the five ovens. An overall reduction of 10% in the use of ammonia is possible.

Timeline for Achieving Estimated Reductions: None, since not economically feasible.

#### **Projected Effectiveness of the Reduction Plan**

Not applicable, since no plan is being implemented.

This plan summary accurately reflects the Toxic Substance Reduction Plan that has been prepared by LD – 50 Enterprises Inc. and B&W Heat Treating Canada, for Ammonia, dated December 2013.

| Table 1: Tracking of Ammonia at the Facility Level |             |             |             |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Form of Involvement                                | Amount (kg) | Amount (kg) | Comparison  |
|  | 2015        | 2016        | 2015 v 2016 |
| Enters the facility                                | 253,715     | 243,499     | -4%         |
| Created at the facility                            | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Released (air) from the facility (spill)           | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Released (land) from the facility                  | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Released (water) from the facility                 | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Disposed (on-site) by the facility                 | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Disposed (off-site) by the facility                | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Transferred (for recycling) from the facility      | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Contained in product that leaves the facility      | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Destroyed at the facility                          | 253,715     | 243,499     | -4%         |
| Remains in storage at the facility                 | 0*          | 0*          | 0           |

<sup>\*</sup> records of remaining storage were not kept in 2016 or 2015, so it is estimated that all the material purchased in 2016, was used in production. This would be a minimal amount due to the tank size versus the overall amount purchased.

#### **Reason for Change**

The amount of Ammonia purchased in 2016 decreased slightly, due to changes in production.

## 2. Sodium Nitrite (CAS No. 7632-00-0)

#### Statement of Intent

The use of this substance in 2012 was based on a rare start-up of the draw pots, due to product contamination. This resulted in an ~100% increase in the amount of the substance used at the facility. Generally, the use of this substance is well below threshold values.

#### **Objectives**

In 2012, there was a rare start-up of the draw tanks, due to a product contamination. As such, the use of this material is generally well below reporting thresholds. Therefore, there is no objective to reduce the use of this material, based on regular use, in subsequent years.

#### **Description of Why the Substance is Used**

The substance is used to create ductility in the metal. This ductility is a safety critical component to the process.

#### **Description of Options to be Implemented**

No option chosen.

Rationale Option1: Substitute salt with high Sodium Nitrite concentration with lower concentration.

Not technically feasible at this time. The lower concentration of the substance was tried earlier in the process and led to quality problems. As the use of this substance is a quality critical component in the effective hardening of the parts, this option was tried and is not feasible. Also, the use of this substance in 2012 was not indicative of the regular use. There was an emergency situation, which led to a higher use of the substance. This situation is not anticipated to happen again

Rationale: Option 2 – Reuse the contaminated salt

Not technically feasible. The contamination in the salt would create quality issues. As the use of this substance is a quality critical component of the process, this option is not feasible. The parts must have the required hardness to maintain safety of the product.

Estimated Reductions for each Option: Not applicable

Timeline for Achieving Estimated Reductions: Not applicable

#### **Projected Effectiveness of the Reduction Plan**

As there is no reduction plan in place at this time, this section is not applicable.

This plan summary accurately reflects the Toxic Substance Reduction Plan that has been prepared by LD – 50 Enterprises Inc. and B&W Heat Treating for Sodium Nitrite dated December 2013. As the subsequent amounts of the product purchased since 2012 has dropped significantly, it has been, and continues to be, below the reporting threshold. An exit record has been filed.

#### Tracking and Quantification of Sodium Nitrite at the Facility Level

Table 1: Tracking of Sodium Nitrite at the Facility Level

| Form of Involvement                           | Amount (kg) | Amount (kg) | Comparison  |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | 2015        | 2016        | 2015 v 2016 |
| Enters the facility                           | 6,236       | 6,236       | 0%          |
| Created at the facility                       | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Released (air) from the facility (spill)      | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Released (land) from the facility             | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Released (water) from the facility            | 159         | 0           | -100%       |
| Disposed (on-site) by the facility            | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Disposed (off-site) by the facility           | 2,600       | 2,000       | -23%        |
| Transferred (for recycling) from the facility | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Contained in product that leaves the facility | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Destroyed at the facility                     | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Remains in storage at the facility            | 3,477       | 0*          | 100%        |

<sup>\*</sup>Amount stored on-site at the end of 2016 was not tracked.

#### Reason for Change

It was reported in the initial Toxic Substance Reduction Plan that there was a high volume of sodium nitrite, due to a one-time oven cleanout. The reduction in use of the substance in 2013, to levels below the reporting threshold, shows this to be accurate. The amount purchased in 2013 was slightly higher than anticipated in the future, due to a new supplier. This was confirmed in 2014, where the purchase of the material was reduced by 21%. And again in 2015, where it was further reduced by another 9.1%. These levels remain below reporting thresholds, are anticipated to do so in the future.

The amount of the salt sent out as waste and discharged to sewer (in the water) decreased. This occurred since steps were taken to eliminate/reduce the salt use in processes that would allow it into the rinse water. It now goes out as a waste, predominantly, rather than being dissolved and discharged into the sanitary sewer.

## 3. Methanol (CAS No. 67-56-1)

#### **Statement of Intent**

Methanol is currently used as a carrier gas through the high temperature heattreating furnaces to create a suitable carbon atmosphere. The use of the substance is critical to the creation of a suitable atmosphere to harden parts. It is expected that the use of the substance will increase based on anticipated increased production. Reduction initiatives taken in the past included, increased efficiencies of the furnaces. Due to its criticality to the process, there was no intent to reduce the use of this substance in 2016.

#### **Objectives**

B&W Heat Treating is reducing the amount of Methanol, by introducing new process panels at the ovens, starting in 2016 and ending in 2017. This will reduce the use of Methanol by ~50%.

#### **Description of Why the Substance is Used**

Methanol (CAS 67-56-1) is used in the Batch Department to create a suitable atmosphere for heat treating. It is shipped to the facility through a contracted service via tanker, and stored in a 1500 (US) gallon tank. Methanol is used as a processing aid, in producing a suitable carbon atmosphere. Methanol acts as a protectant gas to protect the parts from scaling. The description of what, where, when, why and how the toxic substances are used at the facility, is further explained in the process flow diagram and in the body of this report.

#### **Description of Options to be Implemented**

No option chosen.

Rationale Option1: Reduce the use of methanol at the furnaces by installing new process panels.

Estimated Reductions for each Option: 50%

Timeline for Achieving Estimated Reductions: June 2017

#### **Projected Effectiveness of the Reduction Plan**

50% Reduction in the use of methanol is anticipated. Project initiated in late 2016, so little benefit seen.

This plan summary accurately reflects the Toxic Substance Reduction Plan that has been prepared by B&W Heat Treating for Methanol dated May 2011.

#### Tracking and Quantification of Methanol at the Facility Level

Table 1: Tracking of Methanol at the Facility Level

| Form of Involvement                           | Amount (kg) | Amount (kg) | Comparison  |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | 2015        | 2016        | 2015 v 2016 |
| Enters the facility                           | 196,640     | 184,892     | -6.0%       |
| Created at the facility                       | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Released (air) from the facility              | 42          | 39          | -7.1%       |
| Released (land) from the facility             | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Released (water) from the facility            | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Disposed (on-site) by the facility            | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Disposed (off-site) by the facility           | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Transferred (for recycling) from the facility | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Contained in product that leaves the facility | 0           | 0           | 0           |
| Destroyed at the facility                     | 196,598     | 184,853     | 6%          |
| Remains in storage at the facility*           | 0           | 0           | 0           |

<sup>\*</sup>The amount of material remaining on-site was not tracked in 2015 or 2016.

| Reason for Change  |
|--|
| The reduction in 2016 was due to the installation of the new process panels. |

#### **Certification Statement (Licensed Planner)**

As of May 30, 2017, I, Lari Dakin certify that I am familiar with the processes at B&W Heat Treating that uses the toxic substance referred to below, that I agree with the estimates referred to in subparagraphs 7 iii, iv and v of subsection 4 (1) of the Toxics Reduction Act, 2009 that are set out in the plans dated [December 30, 2013] and that the plans comply with that act and Ontario Regulation 455/09 (General) made under that act, and the plans meets all other requirements of the act and regulation.

Ammonia NA-16 & Sodium Nitrite – 7632-00-0

| Name:           | Lari Dakin |
|-----------------|------------|
|                 | Lari Cal-  |
| Signature:      |            |
| License Number: | TSRP0270   |

#### **Certification Statement (Highest Ranking Employee)**

As of May 30, 2017, I, Shawn Scott certify that I have read the toxic substance reduction plans for the toxic substances referred to below and am familiar with its contents, and to my knowledge the plan is factually accurate and complies with the *Toxics Reduction Act,* 2009 and Ontario Regulation 455/09 (General) made under that Act.

Ammonia NA-16 & Sodium Nitrite – 7632-00-0

| Name:      | Shawn Scott     |
|------------|-----------------|
| Signature: | Strott.         |
| Title:     | General Manager |